

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/647,929 | KAIZUKA, MASAO |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Arnold M. Kinkead | 2817 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Arnold M. Kinkead.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. L. Donovan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

double patenting issue; claims 10 and 14 minor typo

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

10/770,643

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Terminal Disclaimer filed and approved 02-06-07; (in claim 10 the dependency is corrected to read from "claim 9"; claim 14 line 2, "with" has been deleted)

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)